



Arbitration

in 57 jurisdictions worldwide

Contributing editors: Gerhard Wegen and Stephan Wilske

2012



Published by

**Getting the Deal Through
in association with:**

Anderson Mōri & Tomotsune
 Appleby
 ASAR – Al Ruwayeh & Partners
 Association for International Arbitration
 AZB & Partners
 Badri and Salim El Meouchi Law Firm
 Bán, S Szabó & Partners
 Barbosa, Müssnich & Aragão
 Billiet & Co
 Bonn, Steichen & Partners
 Brödermann & Jahn
 Cairo Regional Centre for International Commercial Arbitration
 Carlos Aguiar, Ferreira de Lima & Associados, RL
 Clifford Chance
 Drakopoulos Law Firm
 Dr Colin Ong Legal Services, Advocates & Solicitors
 Dzungsr & Associates LLC
 Esin Attorney Partnership
 Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer
 George Etomi & Partners
 Gleiss Lutz
 Gregoriou Law Offices & Associates
 Habib Al Mulla & Co
 Hamilton Abogados
 Hausmaninger Kletter Rechtsanwälte
 Herbert Smith CIS LLP
 Herzog & Gozzi
 Heussen Rechtsanwalts-gesellschaft mbH
 HM Ooi Associates
 Hoet Pelaez Castillo & Duque
 Hogan Lovells US LLP
 Hughes Hubbard & Reed LLP
 Jiménez Cruz Peña
 Kaplan & Stratton Advocates
 Kettani Law Firm
 Kim & Chang
 Kimathi & Partners, Corporate Attorneys
 Kosheri, Rashed & Riad Law Firm
 Lalive
 Laszczuk & Partners
 Law Offices Bělohlávek
 LawFed Studio Legale e Tributario BRSA
 Lilla, Huck, Otranto, Camargo Advogados
 Mamić Perić Reberski Rimac
 Meyer Fabre Avocats
 Miranda Correia Amendoeira & Associados
 Mkono & Co Advocates in association with SNR Denton
 Motieka & Audzevicius
 Norton Rose (Middle East) LLP
 Oscós Abogados
 Perez Bustamante & Ponce
 Pestalozzi Attorneys at Law Ltd
 Posse, Herrera & Ruiz SA
 Roosdiono & Partners
 Salans LLP
 Sandart & Partners
 Sherby & Co, Advs
 Stikeman Elliott LLP
 Tilleke & Gibbins
 Vasil Kisil & Partners



Arbitration 2012

Contributing editors

Gerhard Wegen and Stephan Wilske
Gleiss Lutz

Business development managers

Alan Lee
George Ingledew
Robyn Hetherington
Dan White

Marketing managers

Ellie Notley
Alice Hazard

Marketing assistants

William Bentley
Zosia Demkowicz

Marketing Manager (subscriptions)

Rachel Nurse
Subscriptions@
GettingTheDealThrough.com

Assistant editor

Adam Myers

Editorial assistant

Lydia Gerges

Senior production editor

Jonathan Cowie

Chief subeditor

Jonathan Allen

Subeditors

Caroline Rawson
Davet Hyland
Anna Andreoli
Charlotte Stretch

Editor-in-chief

Callum Campbell

Publisher

Richard Davey

Arbitration 2012

Published by
Law Business Research Ltd
87 Lancaster Road
London, W11 1QQ, UK
Tel: +44 20 7908 1188
Fax: +44 20 7229 6910
© Law Business Research Ltd 2012
No photocopying: copyright licences
do not apply.
ISSN 1750-9947

The information provided in this publication is general and may not apply in a specific situation. Legal advice should always be sought before taking any legal action based on the information provided. This information is not intended to create, nor does receipt of it constitute, a lawyer-client relationship. The publishers and authors accept no responsibility for any acts or omissions contained herein. Although the information provided is accurate as of February 2012, be advised that this is a developing area.

Printed and distributed by
Encompass Print Solutions
Tel: 0844 2480 112

Law
Business
Research



Introduction Gerhard Wegen and Stephan Wilske <i>Gleiss Lutz</i>	3
CAS Bernd Ehle and Guillaume Tattevin <i>Lativ</i>	6
CCBC André de Albuquerque Cavalcanti Abbud and Gustavo Santos Kulesza <i>Barbosa, Müssnich & Aragão</i>	10
CEAC Eckart Brödermann <i>Brödermann & Jahn</i> / Thomas Weimann <i>Clifford Chance</i>	15
CRCICA Laila El Shentenawi <i>Cairo Regional Centre for International Commercial Arbitration</i>	20
DIAC Gordon Blanke and Soraya Corm-Bakhos <i>Habib Al Mulla & Co</i>	25
DIS Renate Dendorfer <i>Heussen Rechtsanwalts-gesellschaft mbH</i>	28
ICC José Rosell and María Beatriz Burghetto <i>Hughes Hubbard & Reed LLP</i>	32
ICSID Nicolas Herzog and Niccolò Gozzi <i>Herzog & Gozzi</i>	38
LCIA Colin Y C Ong <i>Dr Colin Ong Legal Services, Advocates & Solicitors</i>	43
LCIA India Shreyas Jayasimha <i>AZB & Partners</i>	46
The Polish Chamber of Commerce Justyna Szpara and Maciej Laszczuk <i>Laszczuk & Partners</i>	50
The Swiss Chambers of Commerce Matthias Scherer and Domitille Baizeau <i>Lativ</i>	53
SIAC BC Yoon and Shinhong Byun <i>Kim & Chang</i>	57
Angola Agostinho Pereira de Miranda, Cláudia Leonardo and Jayr Fernandes <i>Miranda Correia Amendoeira & Associados</i>	61
Austria Christian Hausmaninger and Michael Herzer <i>Hausmaninger Kletter Rechtsanwälte</i>	67
Bahrain Adam Vause <i>Norton Rose (Middle East) LLP</i>	75
Belgium Johan Billiet <i>Billiet & Co</i> / Dilyara Nigmatullina <i>Association for International Arbitration</i>	83
Bermuda Kiernan Bell <i>Appleby</i>	92
Brazil Hermes Marcelo Huck, Rogério Carmona Bianco and Fábio Peixinho Gomes <i>Corrêa Lilla, Huck, Otranto, Camargo Advogados</i>	98
Canada John A M Judge, Peter J Cullen, Douglas F Harrison and Lev Alexeev <i>Stikeman Elliott LLP</i>	105
Cayman Islands Jeremy Walton <i>Appleby</i>	114
China Peter Yuen, John Choong and Jonathan Wong <i>Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer</i>	121
Colombia Daniel Posse Velásquez and Carolina Posada Isaacs <i>Posse, Herrera & Ruiz SA</i>	129
Croatia Rajko Marković and Frano Belohradsky <i>Mamić Perić Reberski Rimac</i>	135
Czech Republic Alexander J Bělohávek <i>Law Offices Bělohávek</i>	142
Dominican Republic Marcos Peña Rodríguez and Laura Medina Acosta <i>Jiménez Cruz Peña</i>	149
Ecuador Rodrigo Jijón Letort and Juan Manuel Marchán <i>Perez Bustamante & Ponce</i>	157
Egypt Tarek F Riad <i>Kosheri, Rashed & Riad Law Firm</i>	165
England & Wales George Burn, Smeetesh Kakkad and Alexander Slade <i>Salans LLP</i>	171
France Nathalie Meyer Fabre <i>Meyer Fabre Avocats</i>	181
Germany Stephan Wilske and Claudia Krapfl <i>Gleiss Lutz</i>	190
Ghana Kimathi Kuenyehia, Sr, Sika Kuenyehia and Atsu Agbemabiase <i>Kimathi & Partners, Corporate Attorneys</i>	197
Greece Stelios Gregoriou <i>Gregoriou Law Offices & Associates</i>	205
Guernsey Jeremy Le Tissier <i>Appleby</i>	213
Hong Kong Peter Yuen, John Choong and Rachel Lee <i>Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer</i>	219
Hungary Chrysta Bán Bán, S Szabó & Partners	228
India Shreyas Jayasimha <i>AZB & Partners</i>	236
Indonesia Anderonikus A S Janis <i>Roosdiono & Partners</i>	246
Israel Eric S Sherby and Sami Sabzerou <i>Sherby & Co, Advs</i>	253
Italy Mauro Rubino-Sammartano <i>LawFed Studio Legale e Tributario BRSA</i>	261
Japan Shinji Kusakabe <i>Anderson Mōri & Tomotsune</i>	269
Jersey Gillian Robinson <i>Appleby</i>	276
Kazakhstan Aigoul Kenjebayeva and Bakhyt Tukulov <i>Salans LLP</i>	284
Kenya Esther Kinyenje and Cosima Wetende <i>Kaplan & Stratton Advocates</i>	292
Korea BC Yoon, Jun Hee Kim and Kyo-Hwa Liz Chung <i>Kim & Chang</i>	298
Kuwait Ahmed Barakat and Ibrahim Sattout <i>ASAR – Al Ruwayeh & Partners</i>	306
Lebanon Chadia El Meouchi, Jihad Rizkallah and Sarah Fakhry <i>Badri and Salim El Meouchi Law Firm</i>	313
Lithuania Ramūnas Audzevičius and Mantas Juozaitis <i>Motieka & Audzevičius</i>	324
Luxembourg Fabio Trevisan and Laure-Hélène Gaicio <i>Bonn, Steichen & Partners</i>	331
Malaysia Ooi Huey Miin <i>HM Ooi Associates</i>	338
Mexico Darío U Oscós Coria and Darío A Oscós Rueda <i>Oscós Abogados</i>	346
Morocco Azzedine Kettani <i>Kettani Law Firm</i>	354
Mozambique Agostinho Pereira de Miranda, Filipa Russo Sá and Catarina Carvalho Cunha <i>Miranda Correia Amendoeira & Associados</i>	362
Nigeria George Etomi, Efeomo Olotu and Simi Atekoja <i>George Etomi & Partners</i>	369
Poland Justyna Szpara and Paweł Chojecki <i>Laszczuk & Partners</i>	377
Portugal Carlos Aguiar and Vanessa dos Santos <i>Carlos Aguiar, Ferreira de Lima & Associados, RL</i>	384
Qatar Chadia El Meouchi and Grace Alam <i>Badri and Salim El Meouchi Law Firm LLP</i>	392
Romania Adrian Roseti and Claudia Hutina <i>Drakopoulos Law Firm</i>	402
Russia Dmitry Kurochkin, Mike McClure and Alexander Khretinin <i>Herbert Smith CIS LLP</i>	409
Spain Calvin A Hamilton <i>Hamilton Abogados</i>	417
Sweden Eric M Runesson and Simon Arvmyren <i>Sandart & Partners</i>	424
Switzerland Thomas Rohner and Nadja Kubat Erk <i>Pestalozzi Attorneys at Law Ltd</i>	430
Tanzania Nimrod E Mkono, Wilbert Kapinga and Susanne Seifert <i>Mkono & Co Advocates in association with SNR Denton</i>	438
Thailand Kornkieat Chunhakasikarn and Jennifer Erickson <i>Tilleke & Gibbins</i>	444
Turkey Ali Yeşilirmak, Can Gafuroğlu and Doğan Gültutan <i>Esin Attorney Partnership</i>	452
Ukraine Oleksiy Filatov and Pavlo Byelousov <i>Vasil Kisil & Partners</i>	459
United Arab Emirates Habib Al Mulla and Gordon Blanke <i>Habib Al Mulla & Co</i>	468
United States Daniel E González and Richard C Lorenzo <i>Hogan Lovells US LLP</i>	476
Venezuela Fernando Peláez-Pier and José Gregorio Torrealba <i>Hoet Pelaez Castillo & Duque</i>	483
Vietnam Nguyen Manh Dzung, Nguyen Thi Thu Trang and Nguyen Ngoc Minh <i>Dzungst & Associates LLC</i>	491

The Polish Chamber of Commerce

Justyna Szpara and Maciej Laszczuk

Laszczuk & Partners

The Court of Arbitration at the Polish Chamber of Commerce (the PCC) has been in continuous operation since 1 January 1950, when it was created for the purpose of resolving disputes in international trade. In relations between entities from the former Eastern Bloc, arbitration at the chambers of commerce in the relevant countries was mandatory, as reflected by the Moscow Convention of 1972. Thus, at the beginning the Court acted on the basis of arbitration agreements between the parties (typically Polish entities trading with Western European businesses), but it also had mandatory jurisdiction over cases brought against Polish commercial entities (state-controlled) by commercial entities from other countries that were parties to the Moscow Convention.

After 1989 the Court smoothly transformed into the most prominent modern arbitration institution in Poland, for both domestic and international cases. The Court currently handles about 400 to 500 cases yearly, about 20 per cent of them international, and is one of the most important arbitration institutions in the region.

The Court also provides mediation services, through its Mediation Centre. The Court may also administer ad hoc arbitrations.

What is the Court of Arbitration at the PCC?

The Court of Arbitration at the PCC is an arbitration institution affiliated with the Polish Chamber of Commerce, but is a separate organisational unit. The seat of the Court is Warsaw. One of the organisational units of the Court is its Mediation Centre.

The activities of the Court are run by its president, appointed for a three-year term by the Presiding Council of the Polish Chamber of Commerce, upon nomination by the president of the Chamber and in consultation with the Arbitration Council of the Court. The current president of the Court is Marek Furtek. The Arbitration Council is responsible for adopting and amending the Rules of the Court, as well as default appointment of arbitrators and deciding on challenges to arbitrators. The members of the Arbitration Council are appointed by the Presiding Council of the Chamber, upon nomination by the president of the Chamber, for a three-year term. Current members of the Arbitration Council are: Andrzej Kalwas, president; Prof Krystyna Szczepanowska-Kozłowska, vice president; Prof Andrzej Szlęzak, vice president; Zbigniew Cwiąkański, member; Prof Grzegorz Domański, member; Prof Marian Kępiński, member; Prof Andrzej Koźmiński, member; Maciej Łaszczuk, member; Dr Maciej Tomaszewski, member; Dr Andrzej Tynel, member; and Dr Cezary Wiśniewski, member.

Arbitration under the Rules of the Court of Arbitration at the Polish Chamber of Commerce

Rules

The current Rules of the Court of Arbitration at the Polish Chamber of Commerce were issued in 2007. However, under Polish arbitration law, which applies when the place of arbitration is in Poland, if the parties agree on an institutional arbitration, the rules in force at the time of execution of the arbitration agreement – and not at the time of initiating the arbitration – will apply unless the parties agree

otherwise. Thus, previous versions of the Rules may also be relevant.

The Rules govern proceedings where the parties agreed for institutional arbitration by the Court. In ad hoc arbitration administered by the Court, the Rules do not apply unless agreed by the parties.

The Rules give effect to the parties' autonomy to regulate the conduct of the proceeding. In any case the arbitrators are obliged, while applying the Rules, to follow the rules agreed by the parties, whether contained in the arbitration agreement or otherwise mutually agreed.

The Rules expressly require the arbitrators to encourage the parties to settle at every stage of the proceeding. This is not understood as obliging or authorising the arbitrators to mediate between the parties or reveal their assessment of the case, but as a general obligation to promote settlement.

The Court has begun work on drafting a new set of Rules. The goal is to secure the greatest efficiency and quality of the work of the Court and also to meet the expectations of users and adapt to changes taking place in the economy and the legal system in Poland and around the world. The new Rules of the Court will also reflect changes in international arbitration law. The new Rules are being drafted by a committee appointed for this purpose, led by Maciej Łaszczuk. The other members of the committee are Dr Witold Jurcewicz, Dr Rafał Morek, Łukasz Rozdeicz, Justyna Szpara, Prof Andrzej Szumański and Dr Maciej Tomaszewski.

Arbitrators

The Court maintains a list of arbitrators, prepared and reviewed by the Arbitration Council. The Council seeks to list recommended arbitrators of high quality and exceptional skills. The list is not binding on the parties, except that a sole arbitrator or presiding arbitrator must be named from the list, and when the Arbitration Council appoints an arbitrator it will select from this list. There are now almost 200 arbitrators on the list, including the most prominent members of the Polish arbitration community as well as arbitrators from such countries as Austria, Belgium, the Czech Republic, France, Germany, Hungary, India, Italy, Slovakia, Switzerland, the UK and the US. Interestingly, under the Rules currently in force, persons included in the list of arbitrators are not allowed to represent parties in arbitrations at the Court.

The arbitrators are required to follow the Code of Ethics adopted by the Arbitration Council. Breach of the Code may be grounds for removal from the panel or removal from the list of arbitrators.

Under the default rule, cases are heard by three-member panels. A sole arbitrator is appointed:

- when the amount in dispute is less than 40,000 zlotys, unless the parties decided there should be a three-member panel;
- if the parties so agreed; or
- if the parties did not agree on the number of arbitrators, upon decision of the Arbitration Council at the motion of a party or ex officio, if justified by the circumstances of the case (for example, if the amount in dispute is only slightly above the 40,000 zlotys threshold and the case is uncomplicated).

Seat of arbitration

Under the default rule, the seat of the arbitration under the Rules is Warsaw. The parties may agree on a different seat, or otherwise the arbitrators may designate another place of arbitration if justified by the circumstances of the case or the convenience of the parties. Nevertheless, hearings and any other activities during the proceeding may be held elsewhere than at the seat of arbitration.

Language of arbitration

The parties may agree that the proceeding be conducted in Polish, English, French, German or Russian. If the parties do not agree otherwise, the proceeding will be conducted in Polish. Nevertheless, the arbitrators may decide that particular activities be conducted in another language, for example the language of the witnesses or experts or the language of a contract. If the proceeding is conducted in a language other than Polish, however, the essential documents in the proceeding must be translated into Polish. This rule was adopted for the sake of the state court's oversight of the award (if a petition is filed to set aside the award, the record in the arbitration will typically serve as evidence). However, the rule requiring translation of almost the entire record seems outdated and serves little purpose in international arbitration, and there are plans to abandon this requirement.

Multiparty proceedings and third-party intervention

The Rules contain provisions regarding multiparty proceedings and intervention. If there are multiple parties on one side, they must jointly name the arbitrator, and if they do not agree the arbitrator will be named by the Arbitration Council. Any submissions and communications from the tribunal must be served on all of the multiple parties.

The Rules also allow third-party intervention. A third party may be permitted to participate by the tribunal, upon consent of the parties. If the tribunal permits intervention, the third party will be requested to pay an arbitration fee. If the fee is not paid by the deadline stated, the intervention will not be allowed. An intervening third party is not entitled to appoint an arbitrator.

Commencement of arbitration

Under the Rules, arbitration is not commenced by the notice for arbitration. The arbitration starts when a statement of claim is filed with the secretary general of the Court (no notice for arbitration as such is required). The statement of claim must be prepared in the language of the arbitration (and if that is not Polish, with a Polish translation), with copies for each of the respondents and for each arbitrator. The statement of claim should:

- state the parties and their addresses, and in the case of legal persons enclose transcripts from the court register or other public register for the party;
- indicate the arbitration agreement (arbitration clause) or other basis for the jurisdiction of the Court;
- specify the amount in dispute; and
- specify the claim, together with the grounds, and cite evidence in support of the facts alleged.

If the statement of claim is filed by an attorney, it should be accompanied by the original power of attorney or a certified copy, together with the address of the attorney.

A statement of claim may also name the arbitrator appointed by the claimant, move for the case to be heard by a sole arbitrator, or move for an arbitrator to be appointed by the Arbitration Council. Otherwise, the secretary general will give the parties up to three weeks to appoint the arbitrator or arbitrators.

The Rules do not require terms of reference to be prepared by the tribunal and signed by the parties. The organisation of the proceeding occurs through the tribunal's procedural orders.

Jurisdiction

The Rules fully respect the Kompetenz-Kompetenz principle, and the arbitral tribunal is exclusively authorised to rule on its own jurisdiction (subject to judicial review as provided in the arbitration law). Nonetheless, the Rules also provide for a kind of prima facie review of jurisdiction by the secretary general. If jurisdiction is apparently lacking, the secretary general will notify the claimant accordingly and give the claimant up to two weeks to state if it continues to support jurisdiction. If within this term the claimant informs the Court that it does not support the case, the claim is deemed not filed. Otherwise, upon lapse of the term the secretary general proceeds with the statement of claim, and in such case the arbitration tribunal will decide on its own jurisdiction.

Consolidation and set-off

The Rules allow for consolidation of cases for decision in one proceeding. Consolidation requires an order by the arbitral tribunal, when both parties ask for consolidation before the end of the hearing, the consolidated cases are between the same parties and are conducted under the auspices of the Court, and the arbitration panel is the same in all of the cases. The cases do not have to be related, but in practice it is only feasible to seek consolidation when the cases arise out of the same or related contracts. In practice consolidation happens rarely, mostly due to the requirement for identical panels.

The Rules allow the tribunal to hear a defence of set-off regardless of whether the set-off right arises out of the same contract or is covered by an arbitration agreement. Nonetheless, if a separate counterclaim is brought instead of a defence of set-off, the counterclaim must be covered by an arbitration clause providing for the jurisdiction of the Court (although not necessarily the same arbitration agreement as the main claim).

Hearings

A hearing is standard. In certain situations, however, the Rules permit skipping the hearing and deciding the case on written submissions only. This will apply primarily when the parties agree there should be no hearing. Otherwise, the tribunal will decide whether to hold hearings or decide the case on written submissions only. However, if any of the parties asks for a hearing, the tribunal is required to conduct a hearing.

Awards

The Rules provide certain time limits for issuing an award. An award should be issued within one month following the close of the case. The secretary general of the Court may, ex officio or at the request of the chairman of the arbitral tribunal, extend the deadline by a specified period if necessary due to the complexity of the issues to be resolved or other circumstances of the case. Failure to meet the deadline does not in itself invalidate an award.

An award is made in writing and served on the parties following payment of all costs. The original of the award and all copies thereof must bear the signatures of all members of the arbitral tribunal, or at least two members, specifying the reason for the lack of signature by the other arbitrator.

The Rules provide for limited review of the award by the institution. The award is then signed by the secretary general of the Court and the president of the Court, and must bear the seal of the Court. When signing the award, the secretary general of the Court and the president of the Court certify that the arbitral tribunal was appointed in accordance with the Rules and that the signatures of members of the arbitral tribunal are authentic. Prior to signing the award, the president may, without interfering in the merits of the decision, return the award to the chairman of the arbitral tribunal to make any necessary formal corrections or rectify evident mistakes.

Confidentiality

The Rules expressly provide for the confidentiality of proceedings. Hearings are closed to the public. All the participants in the proceeding are required to maintain confidentiality, but the scope of confidentiality is defined by the parties themselves, either in the arbitration agreement or in the statements filed with the arbitral tribunal. The parties may also agree that the fact of commencement of the arbitration should be treated as confidential, but this is not considered to be the default rule. Only parties and their attorneys, as well as persons requested by the tribunal (such as witnesses or experts), may be present during hearings. However, upon consent of both parties and the tribunal other persons may be present, with a maximum of two persons indicated by each of the parties. Awards are also confidential, but the Arbitration Council may decide to publish an award (redacted to assure the anonymity of the parties). In any event, the Council is required to give priority to the parties' autonomy, so if the parties object to publication the Council should not allow publication.

Costs

The Court may be seen as competitive in terms of costs of arbitration. The fees payable to the Court include a fixed registration fee of 2,000 zlotys (or less in certain cases) and an arbitration fee. The arbitration fee is calculated based on the amount in dispute, on a sliding scale (regressive, from 8.0 per cent to 0.3 per cent).

In any final award on the merits, the arbitrators should decide on allocation of costs, including attorneys' fees. There are no fixed rules on cost allocation, but typically the 'loser pays' rule is applied. Attorneys' fees should be assessed per attorney, according to his or her work input, up to the maximum of half of the arbitration fee in the case, but no more than 100,000 zlotys. This means that allocation of attorneys' fees is not necessarily based on the actual costs incurred by the parties, and each of the parties should expect that even if they win the case, they will be required to bear some part of these costs.

Mediation

The Rules also provide a framework for mediation. Mediation under the Rules may be started prior to commencement of arbitration or litigation. Mediation may be conducted based on a written agreement between the parties or upon consent of both parties. The mediator should be appointed jointly by the parties, but if they do not agree the mediator will be appointed by the Arbitration Council from the list of mediators. If mediation leads to a settlement, it should be recorded by the mediator and signed by both the mediator and the parties. There is also an option for the parties to request jointly that the settlement be converted into an award. In such case the Arbitration Council will appoint the mediator as an arbitrator authorised to issue an award. Although not expressly stated in the Rules, a joint motion of the parties to convert the settlement into an award should be deemed to be an arbitration agreement (unless the parties have

already signed an arbitration agreement covering the dispute).

Proceedings concerning internet domain disputes

There is a separate section of the Rules devoted to disputes concerning infringement of rights in connection with registration of '.pl' internet domains. The Court has entered into a cooperation agreement with the Scientific and Academic Computer Network (Naukowa i Akademicka Sieć Komputerowa, or NASK, the institute responsible for administering '.pl' domain names) as one of three arbitration institutions designated to resolve disputes between third parties and entities that have registered '.pl' domains concerning infringements caused by the domain registration. NASK's general terms provide for mandatory arbitration in such cases, requiring the NASK customer against whom a third-party claim is brought to sign an arbitration agreement or the domain registration will expire (it should be noted that the validity of this requirement in relations with consumers is doubtful).

The procedure under this section of the Rules is solely for infringement disputes; other claims between the same parties (eg, for payment of the fee) cannot be joined in the same proceeding. The Rules apply if at least one of the parties has its seat or residence in Poland. If both parties to the dispute are foreign (ie, with their seat or residence outside Poland), the WIPO Expedited Arbitration Rules for Domain Name Dispute Resolution under PL will be applied instead.

The procedure in a domain dispute is simplified to ensure speed and efficiency. For example, all communications within the proceeding should be made by e-mail (unless mandatory provisions of law require otherwise). Essentially, the language of the proceeding should always be Polish, unless the arbitrators decide otherwise, upon joint motion of the parties. The default rule is that a dispute is decided by one arbitrator, instead of a three-member panel (but the parties may decide otherwise). Certain deadlines for the parties and the arbitrators are shorter than for the general procedure under the Rules.

Decisions ending the proceeding (awards and settlements) are automatically forwarded to NASK to implement the actions envisaged in its general terms. The awards are also published.

* * *

The Court of Arbitration at the PCC is the most important institution on the Polish and regional arbitration market, and is witnessing a constant increase in the number of cases, both domestic and international. The Court is also involved in promoting arbitration, organising arbitration seminars and other events on a regular basis, as well as through publications related to arbitration. Since 2003, it has also organised a competition for law students for the best Master's thesis on arbitration.

More information on the Court can be found at www.sakig.pl.

Laszczuk

& PARTNERS

Justyna Szpara
Maciej Laszczuk

justyna.szpara@laszczuk.pl
maciej.laszczuk@laszczuk.pl

PI Pilsudskiego 2
00-073 Warsaw
Poland

Tel: +48 22 351 00 67
Fax: +48 22 351 00 68
www.laszczuk.pl



Annual volumes published on:

Air Transport	Licensing
Anti-Corruption Regulation	Life Sciences
Arbitration	Merger Control
Banking Regulation	Mergers & Acquisitions
Cartel Regulation	Mining
Climate Regulation	Oil Regulation
Construction	Patents
Copyright	Pharmaceutical Antitrust
Corporate Governance	Private Antitrust Litigation
Corporate Immigration	Private Equity
Dispute Resolution	Product Liability
Dominance	Product Recall
e-Commerce	Project Finance
Electricity Regulation	Public Procurement
Enforcement of Foreign Judgments	Real Estate
Environment	Restructuring & Insolvency
Franchise	Right of Publicity
Gas Regulation	Securities Finance
Insurance & Reinsurance	Shipping
Intellectual Property & Antitrust	Tax on Inbound Investment
Labour & Employment	Telecoms and Media
	Trademarks
	Vertical Agreements



For more information or to purchase books, please visit:
www.GettingTheDealThrough.com



The Official Research Partner of
the International Bar Association



Strategic research partners of
the ABA International section