

Arbitration

in 55 jurisdictions worldwide

Contributing editors: Gerhard Wegen and Stephan Wilske

2013



**Published by
Getting the Deal Through
in association with:**

Ahdab Law Firm
Anderson Mōri & Tomotsune
Appley
ASAR – Al Ruwayeh & Partners
Association for International Arbitration
AZB & Partners
Badri and Salim El Meouchi Law Firm
Bán, S Szabó & Partners
Barbosa, Müssnich & Aragón
Barger Prekop sro
Billiet & Co
Bird & Bird LLP
Bonn Steichen & Partners
Cairo Regional Centre for International Commercial Arbitration
Clifford Chance
Crowell & Moring
DLA Piper
Dzungsrt & Associates LLC
Esin Attorney Partnership
Fangda Partners
Formosan Brothers, Attorneys-at-Law
Gan Partnership
Ginestié Magellan Paley-Vincent
Gleiss Lutz
Habib Al Mulla & Co
Heussen Rechtsanwalts-gesellschaft mbH
Hoet Pelaez Castillo & Duque
Hogan Lovells US LLP
Houthoff Buruma
Hughes Hubbard & Reed LLP
Jiménez Cruz Peña
Johnson Winter & Slattery
Kim & Chang
Kimathi & Partners, Corporate Attorneys
Kosheri, Rashed & Riad Law Firm
Kuala Lumpur Regional Centre for Arbitration
Łaszczuk & Partners
Law Offices Bělohávek
LawFed Studio Legale e Tributario BRSA
Lilla, Huck, Otranto, Camargo Advogados
Iurisvalls
Mamić Perić Reberski Rimac
Meyer Fabre Avocats
Miranda Correia Amendoeira & Associados
Mkono & Co Advocates in association with SNR Denton
Motieka & Audzevičius
Niedermann Rechtsanwälte
Norton Rose (Middle East) LLP
Perez Bustamante & Ponce
Pestalozzi Attorneys at Law Ltd
Posse Herrera Ruiz
Roosdiono & Partners
Sandart & Partners
Schellenberg Wittmer
Sherby & Co, Advs
Specht Böhm Rechtsanwalt GmbH
Stikeman Elliot LLP
Stockholm Arbitration & Litigation Center (SALC) Advokatbyrå
Stoica & Asociatii
Tilleke & Gibbins
Vasil Kisil & Partners

Arbitration 2013

Contributing editors:

Gerhard Wegen and Stephan Wilske
Gleiss Lutz

Business development managers

Alan Lee
George Ingledew
Robyn Horsefield
Dan White

Marketing manager

Rachel Nurse

Marketing assistants

Megan Friedman
Zosia Demkowicz
Cady Atkinson
Robin Synnot

Administrative assistants

Parween Bains
Sophie Hickey

Marketing manager (subscriptions)

Rachel Nurse
subscriptions@
gettingthedealthrough.com

Head of editorial production

Adam Myers

Production coordinator

Lydia Gerges

Senior production editor

Jonathan Cowie

Chief subeditor

Jonathan Allen

Subeditors

Martin Forrest
Harry Phillips

Editor-in-chief

Callum Campbell

Publisher

Richard Davey

Arbitration 2013

Published by
Law Business Research Ltd
87 Lancaster Road
London, W11 1QQ, UK
Tel: +44 20 7908 1188
Fax: +44 20 7229 6910

© Law Business Research Ltd 2013

No photocopying: copyright licences do
not apply.

ISSN 1750-9947

The information provided in this publication is
general and may not apply in a specific situation.
Legal advice should always be sought before
taking any legal action based on the information
provided. This information is not intended to
create, nor does receipt of it constitute, a lawyer-
client relationship. No legal advice is being given
in the publication. The publishers and authors
accept no responsibility for any acts or omissions
contained herein. Although the information
provided is accurate as of January 2013, be
advised that this is a developing area.

Printed and distributed by
Encompass Print Solutions
Tel: 0844 2480 112

Introduction Gerhard Wegen and Stephan Wilske <i>Gleiss Lutz</i>	3
CCBC André de Albuquerque Cavalcanti Abbud and Gustavo Santos Kulesza <i>Barbosa, Müssnich & Aragão</i>	7
CEAC Eckart Brödermann <i>Brödermann Jahn</i> , Christine Heeg <i>Bird & Bird LLP</i> and Thomas Weimann <i>Clifford Chance</i>	12
CIETAC Peter Yuen, Helen Shi and Benjamin Miao <i>Fangda Partners</i>	17
CMA André de Albuquerque Cavalcanti Abbud and Gustavo Santos Kulesza <i>Barbosa, Müssnich & Aragão</i>	20
CRCICA Mohamed Abdel Raouf <i>Cairo Regional Centre for International Commercial Arbitration</i>	24
DIAC Gordon Blanke and Soraya Corm-Bakhos <i>Habib Al Mulla & Co</i>	29
DIS Renate Dendorfer-Ditges <i>Heussen Rechtsanwalts-gesellschaft mbH</i>	32
HKAC Peter Yuen and Doris Yeung <i>Fangda Partners</i>	36
ICC José Rosell and María Beatriz Burghetto <i>Hughes Hubbard & Reed LLP</i>	40
ICSID Nicolas Herzog and Niccolò Gozzi <i>Niedermann Rechtsanwälte</i>	46
KLRC Sundra Rajoo <i>Kuala Lumpur Regional Centre for Arbitration</i>	52
LCIA India Shreyas Jayasimha <i>AZB & Partners</i>	56
The Polish Chamber of Commerce Justyna Szpara and Maciej Łaszczuk <i>Łaszczuk & Partners</i>	60
SCC Dan Engström & Cornel Marian <i>Stockholm Arbitration & Litigation Center (SALC) Advokatbyrå</i>	64
The Swiss Chambers' Arbitration Institution Philippe Bärtsch, Christopher Boog and Benjamin Moss <i>Schellenberg Wittmer</i>	68
Angola Agostinho Pereira de Miranda, Cláudia Leonardo and Jayr Fernandes <i>Miranda Correia Amendoeira & Associados</i>	73
Australia Tony Johnson, Michael Bywell and Henry Winter <i>Johnson Winter & Slattery</i>	79
Austria Erhard Böhm and Paul Proksch <i>Specht Böhm Rechtsanwalt GmbH</i>	86
Bahrain Adam Vause <i>Norton Rose (Middle East) LLP</i>	93
Belgium Johan Billiet <i>Billiet & Co</i> and Dilyara Nigmatullina <i>Association for International Arbitration</i>	101
Brazil Hermes Marcelo Huck, Rogério Carmona Bianco and Fábio Peixinho Gomes Corrêa <i>Lilla, Huck, Otranto, Camargo Advogados</i>	110
Canada John A M Judge, Peter J Cullen, Douglas F Harrison and Lev Alexeev <i>Stikeman Elliott LLP</i>	117
Cayman Islands Jeremy Walton and Anna Gilbert <i>Appleby</i>	127
China Peter Yuen, Helen Shi and Benjamin Miao <i>Fangda Partners</i>	136
Colombia Carolina Posada Isaacs and Maria Alejandra Arboleda González <i>Posse Herrera Ruiz</i>	145
Croatia Natalija Perić and Frano Belohradsky <i>Mamić Perić Reberski Rimac</i>	152
Czech Republic Alexander J Bělohávek <i>Law Offices Bělohávek</i>	159
Dominican Republic Marcos Peña Rodríguez and Laura Medina Acosta <i>Jiménez Cruz Peña</i>	167
Ecuador Rodrigo Jijón Letort and Juan Manuel Marchán <i>Perez Bustamante & Ponce</i>	175
Egypt Tarek F Riad <i>Kosheri, Rashed & Riad Law Firm</i>	183
England and Wales Jane Wessel, Claire Stockford and Meriam N Alrashid <i>Crowell & Moring</i>	189
France Nathalie Meyer Fabre <i>Meyer Fabre Avocats</i>	200
Germany Stephan Wilske and Claudia Krapfl <i>Gleiss Lutz</i>	209
Ghana Kimathi Kuenyehia, Sr, Sika Kuenyehia and Atsu Agbemabiase <i>Kimathi & Partners, Corporate Attorneys</i>	216
Hong Kong Peter Yuen and Doris Yeung <i>Fangda Partners</i>	224
Hungary Chrysta Bán Bán, S Szabó & Partners	233
India Shreyas Jayasimha <i>AZB & Partners</i>	241
Indonesia Anderonikus A S Janis <i>Roosdiono & Partners</i>	251
Israel Eric S Sherby and Sami Sabzerou <i>Sherby & Co, Advs</i>	258
Italy Mauro Rubino-Sammartano <i>LawFed Studio Legale e Tributario BRSA</i>	267
Japan Shinji Kusakabe <i>Anderson Mōri & Tomotsune</i>	275
Korea BC Yoon, Kyo-Hwa Liz Chung and Richard Menard <i>Kim & Chang</i>	282
Kuwait Ahmed Barakat and Ibrahim Sattout <i>ASAR – Al Ruwayeh & Partners</i>	290
Lebanon Chadia El Meouchi, Jihad Rizkallah and Sarah Fakhry <i>Badri and Salim El Meouchi Law Firm</i>	298
Lithuania Ramūnas Audzevičius and Rimantas Daujotas <i>Motieka & Audzevičius</i>	310
Luxembourg Fabio Trevisan and Laure-Hélène Gaicio <i>Bonn, Steichen & Partners</i>	317
Malaysia Foo Liang <i>Gan Partnership</i>	325
Mozambique Agostinho Pereira de Miranda, Filipa Russo de Sá and Catarina Carvalho Cunha <i>Miranda Correia Amendoeira & Associados</i>	335
Netherlands D Knottenbelt and M E Koppenol-Laforce <i>Houthoff Buruma</i>	342
Poland Justyna Szpara and Pawel Chojecki <i>Łaszczuk & Partners</i>	349
Portugal Agostinho Pereira de Miranda, Cláudia Leonardo and Catarina Cunha <i>Miranda Correia Amendoeira & Associados</i>	356
Qatar Jalal El Ahdab and Myriam Eid <i>Ginestié Magellan Paley-Vincent in association with Ahdab Law Firm</i>	363
Romania Cristiana-Irinel Stoica, Daniel Aragea and Andrei Buga <i>Stoica & Asociatii</i>	371
Russia Natalya Menshikova, Julia Zaletova and Irina Anishchenko <i>Specht Böhm Rechtsanwalt GmbH</i>	378
Saudi Arabia Jalal El Ahdab and Myriam Eid <i>Ginestié Magellan Paley-Vincent in association with Ahdab Law Firm</i>	387
Serbia Dušan Rakitić and Nikoleta Vučenović <i>Specht Böhm Rechtsanwalt GmbH</i>	397
Singapore Yu-Jin Tay and David Liu <i>DLA Piper</i>	404
Slovakia Roman Prekop, Adrian Barger, Monika Simorova and Boris Halas <i>Barger Prekop sro</i>	414
Spain Ramon Mullerat <i>Jurisvalls</i>	422
Sweden Eric M Runesson and Simon Arvmyren <i>Sandart & Partners</i>	433
Switzerland Thomas Rohner and Nadja Kubat Erk <i>Pestalozzi Attorneys at Law Ltd</i>	440
Taiwan Helena H C Chen and Kitty Shen <i>Formosan Brothers, Attorneys-at-Law</i>	448
Tanzania Wilbert Kapinga, Ofotsu A Tetteh-Kujorjie and Kamanga Kapinga <i>Mkono & Co Advocates in association with SNR Denton</i>	455
Thailand Kornkieat Chunhakasikarn and John King <i>Tilleke & Gibbins</i>	461
Turkey Ismail G Esin, Ali Yesilirmak and Dogan Glututan <i>Esin Attorney Partnership</i>	469
Ukraine Oleksiy Filatov and Pavlo Byelousov <i>Vasil Kisil & Partners</i>	477
United Arab Emirates Gordon Blanke and Soraya Corm-Bakhos <i>Habib Al Mulla & Co</i>	487
United States Daniel E González and Richard C Lorenzo <i>Hogan Lovells US LLP</i>	496
Venezuela Fernando Pelaez-Pier and José Gregorio Torrealba <i>Hoet Pelaez Castillo & Duque</i>	503
Vietnam Nguyen Manh Dzung, Nguyen Thi Thu Trang and Nguyen Ngoc Minh <i>Dzungst & Associates LLC</i>	511

The Polish Chamber of Commerce

Justyna Szpara and Maciej Łaszczuk

Łaszczuk & Partners

The Court of Arbitration at the Polish Chamber of Commerce (the PCC) has been in continuous operation since 1 January 1950, when it was created for the purpose of resolving disputes in international trade. In relations between entities from the former Eastern Bloc, arbitration at the chambers of commerce in the relevant countries was mandatory, as reflected by the Moscow Convention of 1972. Thus, at the beginning the Court acted on the basis of arbitration agreements between the parties (typically Polish entities trading with western European businesses), but it also had mandatory jurisdiction over cases brought against Polish commercial entities (state-controlled) by commercial entities from other countries that were parties to the Moscow Convention.

After 1989 the Court smoothly transformed into the most prominent modern arbitration institution in Poland, for both domestic and international cases. The Court currently handles about 300 to 400 cases yearly, about 20 per cent of them international, and is one of the most important arbitration institutions in the region.

The Court also provides mediation services, through its Mediation Centre. The Court may also administer ad hoc arbitrations.

What is the Court of Arbitration at the PCC?

The Court of Arbitration at the PCC is an arbitration institution affiliated with the Polish Chamber of Commerce, but is a separate organisational unit. The seat of the Court is Warsaw. One of the organisational units of the Court is its Mediation Centre.

The activities of the Court are run by its president, appointed for a three-year term by the Presiding Council of the Polish Chamber of Commerce, upon nomination by the president of the Chamber and in consultation with the Arbitral Council of the Court. The current president of the Court is Marek Furtak. The Arbitral Council is responsible for adopting and amending the Rules of the Court, as well as default appointment of arbitrators and deciding on challenges to arbitrators. The members of the Arbitral Council are appointed by the Presiding Council of the Chamber, upon nomination by the president of the Chamber, for a three-year term. Current members of the Arbitral Council are: Andrzej Kalwas (president), Krystyna Szczepanowska-Kozłowska (vice president), Andrzej Szlęzak (vice president), Zbigniew Cwiąkalski, Grzegorz Domański, Marian Kępiński, Andrzej Koźmiński, Maciej Łaszczuk, Maciej Tomaszewski, Andrzej Tynel and Cezary Wiśniewski.

Arbitration under the Rules of the Court of Arbitration at the Polish Chamber of Commerce

The current Rules of the Court of Arbitration at the Polish Chamber of Commerce were issued in 2007. However, under Polish arbitration law, which applies when the place of arbitration is in Poland, if the parties agree on an institutional arbitration, the rules in force at the time of execution of the arbitration agreement – and not at the time of initiating the arbitration – will apply unless the parties agree otherwise. Thus, previous versions of the Rules may also be relevant.

The Rules govern proceedings where the parties agreed for institutional arbitration by the Court. In ad hoc arbitration administered by the Court, the Rules do not apply unless agreed by the parties.

The Rules give effect to the parties' autonomy to regulate the conduct of the proceeding. In any case the arbitrators are obliged, while applying the Rules, to follow the rules agreed by the parties, whether contained in the arbitration agreement or otherwise mutually agreed.

The Rules expressly require the arbitrators to encourage the parties to settle at every stage of the proceeding. This is not understood as obliging or authorising the arbitrators to mediate between the parties or reveal their assessment of the case, but as a general obligation to promote settlement.

The Court has begun work on drafting a new set of Rules. The goal is to secure the greatest efficiency and quality of the work of the Court and also to meet the expectations of users and adapt to changes taking place in the economy and the legal system in Poland and around the world. The new Rules of the Court will also reflect changes in international arbitration law. The new Rules are being drafted by a committee appointed for this purpose, led by Maciej Łaszczuk. The other members of the committee are Witold Jurcewicz, Rafał Morek, Łukasz Rozdeicz, Justyna Szpara, Andrzej Szumański and Maciej Tomaszewski.

Arbitrators

The Court maintains a list of arbitrators, prepared and reviewed by the Arbitral Council. The Council seeks to list recommended arbitrators of high quality and exceptional skills. The list is not binding on the parties, except that a sole arbitrator or presiding arbitrator must be named from the list, and when the Arbitral Council appoints an arbitrator it will select from this list. There are now almost 200 arbitrators on the list, including the most prominent members of the Polish arbitration community as well as arbitrators from such countries as Austria, Belgium, the Czech Republic, France, Germany, Hungary, India, Italy, Slovakia, Switzerland, the UK and the US. Interestingly, under the Rules currently in force, persons included in the list of arbitrators are not allowed to represent parties in arbitrations at the Court.

The arbitrators are required to follow the Code of Ethics adopted by the Arbitral Council. Breach of the Code may be grounds for removal from the panel or removal from the list of arbitrators.

Under the default rule, cases are heard by three-member panels. A sole arbitrator is appointed:

- when the amount in dispute is less than 40,000 zlotys, unless the parties decided there should be a three-member panel;
- if the parties so agreed; or
- if the parties did not agree on the number of arbitrators, upon decision of the Arbitral Council at the motion of a party or ex officio, if justified by the circumstances of the case (for example, if the amount in dispute is only slightly above the 40,000 zlotys threshold and the case is uncomplicated).

Seat of arbitration

Under the default rule, the seat of the arbitration under the Rules is Warsaw. The parties may agree on a different seat, or otherwise the arbitrators may designate another place of arbitration if justified by the circumstances of the case or the convenience of the parties. Nevertheless, hearings and any other activities during the proceeding may be held elsewhere than at the seat of arbitration.

Language of arbitration

The parties may agree that the proceeding be conducted in Polish, English, French, German or Russian. If the parties do not agree otherwise, the proceeding will be conducted in Polish. Nevertheless, the arbitrators may decide that particular activities be conducted in another language, for example the language of the witnesses or experts or the language of a contract. If the proceeding is conducted in a language other than Polish, however, the essential documents in the proceeding must be translated into Polish. This rule was adopted for the sake of the state court's oversight of the award (if a petition is filed to set aside the award, the record in the arbitration will typically serve as evidence). However, the rule requiring translation of almost the entire record seems outdated and serves little purpose in international arbitration, and there are plans to abandon this requirement.

Multi-party proceedings and third-party intervention

The Rules contain provisions regarding multi-party proceedings and intervention. If there are multiple parties on one side, they must jointly name the arbitrator, and if they do not agree the arbitrator will be named by the Arbitral Council. Any submissions and communications from the tribunal must be served on all of the multiple parties.

The Rules also allow third-party intervention. A third party may be permitted to participate by the tribunal, upon consent of the parties. If the tribunal permits intervention, the third party will be requested to pay an arbitration fee. If the fee is not paid by the deadline stated, the intervention will not be allowed. An intervening third party is not entitled to appoint an arbitrator.

Commencement of arbitration

The arbitration starts when a statement of claim is filed with the secretary general of the Court (no notice for arbitration as such is required). The statement of claim must be prepared in the language of the arbitration (and if that is not Polish, with a Polish translation), with copies for each of the respondents and for each arbitrator. The statement of claim should:

- state the parties and their addresses, and in the case of legal persons enclose transcripts from the court register or other public register for the party;
- indicate the arbitration agreement (arbitration clause) or other basis for the jurisdiction of the Court;
- specify the amount in dispute; and
- specify the claim, together with the grounds, and cite evidence in support of the facts alleged.

If the statement of claim is filed by an attorney, it should be accompanied by the original power of attorney or a certified copy, together with the address of the attorney.

A statement of claim may also name the arbitrator appointed by the claimant, move for the case to be heard by a sole arbitrator, or move for an arbitrator to be appointed by the Arbitral Council. Otherwise, the secretary general will give the parties up to three weeks to appoint the arbitrator or arbitrators.

The Rules do not require terms of reference to be prepared by the tribunal and signed by the parties. The organisation of the proceeding occurs through the tribunal's procedural orders.

Jurisdiction

The Rules fully respect the Kompetenz-Kompetenz principle, and the arbitral tribunal is exclusively authorised to rule on its own jurisdiction (subject to judicial review as provided in the arbitration law). Nonetheless, the Rules also provide for a kind of prima facie review of jurisdiction by the secretary general. If jurisdiction is apparently lacking, the secretary general will notify the claimant accordingly and give the claimant up to two weeks to state if it continues to support jurisdiction. If within this term the claimant informs the Court that it does not support the case, the claim is deemed not filed. Otherwise, upon lapse of the term the secretary general proceeds with the statement of claim, and in such case the arbitration tribunal will decide on its own jurisdiction.

Consolidation and set-off

The Rules allow for consolidation of cases for decision in one proceeding. Consolidation requires an order by the arbitral tribunal, when both parties ask for consolidation before the end of the hearing, the consolidated cases are between the same parties and are conducted under the auspices of the Court, and the arbitration panel is the same in all of the cases. The cases do not have to be related, but in practice it is only feasible to seek consolidation when the cases arise out of the same or related contracts. In practice consolidation happens rarely, mostly due to the requirement for identical panels.

The Rules allow the tribunal to hear a defence of set-off regardless of whether the set-off right arises out of the same contract or is covered by an arbitration agreement. Nonetheless, if a separate counterclaim is brought instead of a defence of set-off, the counterclaim must be covered by an arbitration clause providing for the jurisdiction of the Court (although not necessarily the same arbitration agreement as the main claim).

Hearings

A hearing is standard. In certain situations, however, the Rules permit skipping the hearing and deciding the case on written submissions only. This will apply primarily when the parties agree there should be no hearing. Otherwise, the tribunal will decide whether to hold hearings or decide the case on written submissions only. However, if any of the parties asks for a hearing, the tribunal is required to conduct a hearing.

Awards

The Rules provide certain time limits for issuing an award. An award should be issued within one month following the close of the case. The secretary general of the Court may, ex officio or at the request of the chairman of the arbitral tribunal, extend the deadline by a specified period if necessary due to the complexity of the issues to be resolved or other circumstances of the case. Failure to meet the deadline does not in itself invalidate an award.

An award is made in writing and served on the parties following payment of all costs. The original of the award and all copies thereof must bear the signatures of all members of the arbitral tribunal, or at least two members, specifying the reason for the lack of signature by the other arbitrator.

The Rules provide for limited review of the award by the institution. The award is then signed by the secretary general of the Court and the president of the Court, and must bear the seal of the Court. When signing the award, the secretary general of the Court and the president of the Court certify that the arbitral tribunal was appointed in accordance with the Rules and that the signatures of members of the arbitral tribunal are authentic. Prior to signing the award, the president may, without interfering in the merits of the decision, return the award to the chairman of the arbitral tribunal to make any necessary formal corrections or rectify evident mistakes.

Confidentiality

The Rules expressly provide for the confidentiality of proceedings. Hearings are closed to the public. All the participants in the proceeding are required to maintain confidentiality, but the scope of confidentiality is defined by the parties themselves, either in the arbitration agreement or in the statements filed with the arbitral tribunal. The parties may also agree that the fact of commencement of the arbitration should be treated as confidential, but this is not considered to be the default rule. Only parties and their attorneys, as well as persons requested by the tribunal (such as witnesses or experts), may be present during hearings. However, upon consent of both parties and the tribunal other persons may be present, with a maximum of two persons indicated by each of the parties. Awards are also confidential, but the Arbitral Council may decide to publish an award (redacted to assure the anonymity of the parties). In any event, the Council is required to give priority to the parties' autonomy, so if the parties object to publication the Council should not allow publication.

Costs

The Court may be seen as competitive in terms of costs of arbitration. The fees payable to the Court include a fixed registration fee of 2,000 zlotys (or less in certain cases) and an arbitration fee. The arbitration fee is calculated based on the amount in dispute, on a sliding scale (regressive, from 8.0 per cent to 0.3 per cent).

In any final award on the merits, the arbitrators should decide on allocation of costs, including attorneys' fees. There are no fixed rules on cost allocation, but typically the 'loser pays' rule is applied. Attorneys' fees should be assessed per attorney, according to his or her work input, up to the maximum of half of the arbitration fee in the case, but no more than 100,000 zlotys. This means that allocation of attorneys' fees is not necessarily based on the actual costs incurred by the parties, and each of the parties should expect that even if they win the case, they will be required to bear some part of these costs.

Mediation

The Rules also provide a framework for mediation. Mediation under the Rules may be started prior to commencement of arbitration or litigation. Mediation may be conducted based on a written agreement between the parties or upon consent of both parties. The mediator should be appointed jointly by the parties, but if they do not agree the mediator will be appointed by the Arbitral Council from the list of mediators. If mediation leads to a settlement, it should be recorded by the mediator and signed by both the mediator and the parties. There is also an option for the parties to request jointly that the settlement be converted into an award. In such case the Arbitral Council will appoint the mediator as an arbitrator authorised to issue an

award. Although not expressly stated in the Rules, a joint motion of the parties to convert the settlement into an award should be deemed to be an arbitration agreement (unless the parties have already signed an arbitration agreement covering the dispute).

Proceedings concerning internet domain disputes

There is a separate section of the Rules devoted to disputes concerning infringement of rights in connection with registration of '.pl' internet domains. The Court has entered into a cooperation agreement with the Scientific and Academic Computer Network (Naukowa i Akademicka Sieć Komputerowa, or NASK, the institute responsible for administering '.pl' domain names) as one of three arbitration institutions designated to resolve disputes between third parties and entities that have registered '.pl' domains concerning infringements caused by the domain registration. NASK's general terms provide for mandatory arbitration in such cases, requiring the NASK customer against whom a third-party claim is brought to sign an arbitration agreement or the domain registration will expire (it should be noted that the validity of this requirement in relations with consumers is doubtful).

The procedure under this section of the Rules is solely for infringement disputes; other claims between the same parties (eg, for payment of the fee) cannot be joined in the same proceeding. The Rules apply if at least one of the parties has its seat or residence in Poland. If both parties to the dispute are foreign (ie, with their seat or residence outside Poland), the WIPO Expedited Arbitration Rules for Domain Name Dispute Resolution under PL will be applied instead.

The procedure in a domain dispute is simplified to ensure speed and efficiency. For example, all communications within the proceeding should be made by e-mail (unless mandatory provisions of law require otherwise). Essentially, the language of the proceeding should always be Polish, unless the arbitrators decide otherwise, upon joint motion of the parties. The default rule is that a dispute is decided by one arbitrator, instead of a three-member panel (but the parties may decide otherwise). Certain deadlines for the parties and the arbitrators are shorter than for the general procedure under the Rules.

Decisions ending the proceeding (awards and settlements) are automatically forwarded to NASK to implement the actions envisaged in its general terms. The awards are also published.

* * *

The Court of Arbitration at the PCC is the most important institution on the Polish and regional arbitration market, and is witnessing a constant increase in the number of cases, both domestic and international. The Court is also involved in promoting arbitration,

Laszczuk

& PARTNERS

Justyna Szpara
Maciej Laszczuk

justyna.szpara@laszczuk.pl
maciej.laszczuk@laszczuk.pl

PI Piłsudskiego 2
00-073 Warsaw
Poland

Tel: +48 22 351 00 67
Fax: +48 22 351 00 68
www.laszczuk.pl
www.arbitration.pl

organising arbitration seminars and other events on a regular basis, as well as through publications related to arbitration. Since 2003, it has also organised a competition for law students for the best Master's thesis on arbitration.

More information on the Court can be found at www.sakig.pl.



Annual volumes published on:

Air Transport	Licensing
Anti-Corruption Regulation	Life Sciences
Anti-Money Laundering	Mediation
Arbitration	Merger Control
Asset Recovery	Mergers & Acquisitions
Banking Regulation	Mining
Cartel Regulation	Oil Regulation
Climate Regulation	Patents
Construction	Pharmaceutical Antitrust
Copyright	Private Antitrust Litigation
Corporate Governance	Private Client
Corporate Immigration	Private Equity
Data Protection & Privacy	Product Liability
Dispute Resolution	Product Recall
Dominance	Project Finance
e-Commerce	Public Procurement
Electricity Regulation	Real Estate
Enforcement of Foreign Judgments	Restructuring & Insolvency
Environment	Right of Publicity
Foreign Investment Review	Securities Finance
Franchise	Shipbuilding
Gas Regulation	Shipping
Insurance & Reinsurance	Tax on Inbound Investment
Intellectual Property & Antitrust	Telecoms and Media
Labour & Employment	Trade & Customs
	Trademarks
	Vertical Agreements



**For more information or to
purchase books, please visit:**
www.gettingthedealthrough.com



The Official Research Partner of
the International Bar Association



THE QUEEN'S AWARDS
FOR ENTERPRISE:
2012



Strategic research partners of
the ABA International section