

Arbitration

Contributing editors

Gerhard Wegen and Stephan Wilske



2016

GETTING THE
DEAL THROUGH

GETTING THE
DEAL THROUGH 

Arbitration 2016

Contributing editors

Gerhard Wegen and Stephan Wilske

Gleiss Lutz

Publisher
Gideon Robertson
gideon.roberton@lbresearch.com

Subscriptions
Sophie Pallier
subscriptions@gettingthedealthrough.com

Business development managers
Alan Lee
alan.lee@gettingthedealthrough.com

Adam Sargent
adam.sargent@gettingthedealthrough.com

Dan White
dan.white@gettingthedealthrough.com



Published by
Law Business Research Ltd
87 Lancaster Road
London, W11 1QQ, UK
Tel: +44 20 3708 4199
Fax: +44 20 7229 6910

© Law Business Research Ltd 2016
No photocopying without a CLA licence.
First published 2006
Eleventh edition
ISSN 1750-9947

The information provided in this publication is general and may not apply in a specific situation. Legal advice should always be sought before taking any legal action based on the information provided. This information is not intended to create, nor does receipt of it constitute, a lawyer-client relationship. The publishers and authors accept no responsibility for any acts or omissions contained herein. Although the information provided is accurate as of January 2016, be advised that this is a developing area.

Printed and distributed by
Encompass Print Solutions
Tel: 0844 2480 112



CONTENTS

Introduction	7	China	82
Gerhard Wegen and Stephan Wilske Gleiss Lutz		Shengchang Wang, Ning Fei and Fang Zhao Hui Zhong Law Firm	
CEA	14	Colombia	91
Pablo Poza and Ana Blanco Spanish Court of Arbitration		Alberto Zuleta-Londoño, Juan Camilo Fandiño-Bravo and Juan Camilo Jiménez-Valencia Cárdenas & Cárdenas Abogados	
CEAC	17	Croatia	97
Eckart Brödermann and Christine Heeg Chinese European Arbitration Centre Thomas Weimann Chinese European Legal Association		Zoran Vukić, Iva Sunko and Ana Pehar Vukić & Partners Ltd	
DIS	22	Denmark	104
Renate Dendorfer-Ditges DITGES PartGmbH		Peter Lind Nielsen and Morten Grundahl Bird & Bird Advokatpartnerselskab	
European Court of Arbitration	26	Dominican Republic	110
Mauro Rubino-Sammartano European Centre for Arbitration and Mediation		Fabiola Medina Garnes Medina Garrigó Attorneys at Law	
HKIAC	29	Ecuador	118
Paulo Fohlin Magnusson		Rodrigo Jijón Letort, Juan Manuel Marchán, Juan Francisco González and Javier Jaramillo Pérez Bustamante & Ponce	
LCIA	32	Egypt	125
Claire Stockford, Jane Wessel and Tom Stables Shepherd and Wedderburn LLP		Ismail Selim Khodeir and Nour in association with Al Tamimi and Company	
The Polish Chamber of Commerce	35	England & Wales	132
Justyna Szpara and Maciej Łaszczuk Łaszczuk & Partners		Adrian Jones, Gordon McAllister, Edward Norman and John Laird Crowell & Moring LLP	
Angola	38	Equatorial Guinea	143
Agostinho Pereira de Miranda, Sofia Martins and Jayr Fernandes Miranda & Associados		Agostinho Pereira de Miranda and Sofia Martins Miranda & Associados	
Austria	44	Finland	148
Klaus Oblin Oblin Melichar		Tom Vapaavuori and Juha Ojala Bird & Bird Attorneys Ltd	
Belgium	50	France	155
Johan Billiet Billiet & Co Cecile Oosterveen Association for International Arbitration		Thomas Bevilacqua and Ivan Urzhumov Foley Hoag LLP	
Brazil	60	Germany	165
Hermes Marcelo Huck, Rogério Carmona Bianco and Fábio Peixinho Gomes Corrêa Lilla, Huck, Otranto, Camargo Advogados		Stephan Wilske and Claudia Krapfl Gleiss Lutz	
Canada	67	Ghana	172
John Judge and Daniel Dawalibi Arbitration Place		Kimathi Kuenyehia and Sarpong Odame Kimathi & Partners, Corporate Attorneys	
Chile	75	Greece	180
Paulo Román, Marta Arias and Rodrigo Donoso Aninat Schwencke & Cía		Antonios D Tsavdaridis Rokas Law Firm	
		Hong Kong	188
		Paulo Fohlin Magnusson	

Hungary	196	Scotland	313
Chrysta Bán Bán, S Szabó & Partners		Brandon Malone Brandon Malone & Company	
India	203	Singapore	321
Shreyas Jayasimha, Mysore Prasanna, Rajashree Rastogi, Spandana Ashwath and Sujaya Sanjay Aarna Law		Edmund Jerome Kronenburg and Tan Kok Peng Braddell Brothers LLP	
Italy	215	Slovakia	330
Cecilia Carrara Legance – Avvocati Associati		Roman Prekop, Monika Simorova, Peter Petho and Eduard Kutenic Barger Prekop sro	
Japan	222	Spain	338
Shinji Kusakabe Anderson Mōri & Tomotsune		Alberto Echarri Echarri & Brindle, Abogados	
Korea	229	Sweden	346
BC Yoon, Richard Menard and Liz (Kyo-Hwa) Chung Kim & Chang		Simon Arvmyren Sandart & Partners	
Lithuania	237	Switzerland	353
Ramūnas Audzevičius and Rimantas Daujotas Motieka & Audzevičius		Xavier Favre-Bulle, Harold Frey and Daniel Durante Lenz & Staehelin	
Malaysia	244	Taiwan	360
Foo Joon Liang Gan Partnership		Helena H C Chen Pinsent Masons LLP	
Morocco	253	Tanzania	367
Azzedine Kettani Kettani Law Firm		Wilbert Kapinga, Jacqueline Tarimo and Kamanga Kapinga Mkono & Co Advocates	
Mozambique	260	Thailand	373
Agostinho Pereira de Miranda, Sofia Martins and Filipa Russo de Sá Miranda & Associados		Kornkieat Chunhakasikarn and John Frangos Tilleke & Gibbins	
Myanmar	266	Turkey	380
Kelvin Poon, Min Thein and Daryl Larry Sim Rajah & Tann Singapore LLP		İsmail G Esin, Özgün Çelebi and Doğan Gültutan Esin Attorney Partnership	
Nigeria	272	Ukraine	388
Dorothy Udeme Ufot, SAN Dorothy Ufot & Co		Oleg Alyoshin and Yuriy Dobosh Vasil Kisil & Partners	
Portugal	281	United Arab Emirates	397
Sofia Martins and Pedro Sousa Uva Miranda & Associados		Robert Stephen and Laura Askew Herbert Smith Freehills LLP	
Qatar	288	United States	404
James Bremen, Christopher Humby and Gillian Carr Herbert Smith Freehills Middle East LLP		Jack Thomas, Arlen Pyenson and Randa Adra Crowell & Moring LLP	
Romania	296	Venezuela	411
Cristiana-Irinel Stoica, Andreea Micu and Daniel Aragea Stoica & Asociații		Fernando Peláez-Pier and José Gregorio Torrealba Hoet Pelaez Castillo & Duque	
Russia	304		
Ilya Nikiforov, Alexey Karchiomov and Svetlana Popova Egorov, Puginsky, Afanasiev and Partners			

The Polish Chamber of Commerce

Justyna Szpara and Maciej Łaszczuk

Łaszczuk & Partners

The Court of Arbitration (the Court) at the Polish Chamber of Commerce (the PCC) has been in continuous operation since 1 January 1950, when it was created for the purpose of resolving disputes in international trade. In relations between entities from the former Eastern Bloc, arbitration at the chambers of commerce in the relevant countries was mandatory, as reflected by the Moscow Convention of 1972. Thus, at the beginning the Court acted on the basis of arbitration agreements between the parties (typically Polish entities trading with western European businesses), but it also had mandatory jurisdiction over cases brought against Polish commercial entities (state-controlled) by commercial entities from other countries that were parties to the Moscow Convention.

After 1989 the Court transformed smoothly into the most prominent modern arbitration institution in Poland, for both domestic and international cases. The Court handles around 300 to 400 cases yearly, about 20 per cent of them international, and is one of the most important arbitration institutions in the region.

The Court also provides mediation services, through its Mediation Centre. The Court may also administer ad hoc arbitrations.

What is the Court of Arbitration at the PCC?

The Court of Arbitration at the PCC is an arbitration institution affiliated with the Polish Chamber of Commerce, but is a separate organisational unit. The seat of the Court is Warsaw. One of the organisational units of the Court is its Mediation Centre.

The activities of the Court are run by its president, appointed for a four-year term by the Executive Board of the Polish Chamber of Commerce, upon nomination by the president of the Chamber and in consultation with the Arbitral Council of the Court. The current president of the Court is Marek Furtek. The Arbitral Council is responsible for, among other things, adopting and amending the Rules of the Court, as well as default appointment of arbitrators and deciding on challenges to arbitrators. The members of the Arbitral Council are appointed by the Executive Board of the Chamber, upon nomination by the president of the Chamber, for a four-year term. The current members of the Arbitral Council are Maciej Łaszczuk (president), Krystyna Szczepanowska-Kozłowska (vice president), Andrzej Szlęzak (vice president), Zbigniew Banaszczyk, Zbigniew Cwiakalski, Marian Kępiński, Andrzej Kozmiński, Małgorzata Modrzejewska, Rafał Morek, Wojciech Popiołek, Andrzej Szumański, Maciej Tomaszewski, Cezary Wiśniewski and Krzysztof Zakrzewski.

Arbitration under the Rules of the Court of Arbitration at the Polish Chamber of Commerce

Rules

The current applicable Rules were adopted by the Arbitral Council of the Court of Arbitration at the Polish Chamber of Commerce on 14 October 2014. The 2014 Rules correspond to changes in the law and practice of arbitration that have developed in Poland and in the world, and to the expectations of participants in arbitration proceedings. The Rules provide parties and arbitrators with tools to manage arbitration proceedings quickly and efficiently and expand the parties' opportunities to shape the arbitration proceedings. The Rules impose certain obligations on arbitrators, yet at the same time give them opportunities to shape the proceeding in a manner best suited to the specifics of the particular dispute.

The 2014 Rules apply to all proceedings commenced after 1 January 2015, unless the parties agreed otherwise. A tribunal constituted in accordance with the Rules has jurisdiction over a dispute if the parties agreed

to institutional arbitration under the Rules or the respondent consented to arbitration after it was served with a request for arbitration. Moreover, under any arbitration agreement referring to the Court or the Rules, the parties are deemed to agree on institutional arbitration pursuant to the Rules unless otherwise specifically stipulated. In ad hoc arbitrations administered by the Court, the Rules do not apply unless agreed by the parties.

The Rules give effect to the parties' autonomy to regulate the conduct of the proceeding. The parties may agree at any time, in a manner binding on the tribunal, on rules of procedure different from those provided in the Rules, so long as they do not violate mandatorily applicable legal norms. However, the provisions of the Rules concerning the competencies of the authorities of the Court and the rules for appointment of a sole arbitrator or the presiding arbitrator, as well as the provisions of the Fee Schedule, cannot be the subject of different rules agreed by the parties.

Arbitrators

The Court maintains a list of recommended arbitrators, prepared and reviewed by the Arbitral Council. The Council seeks to list recommended arbitrators of high quality and exceptional skills. The list is not binding on the parties, except that a sole arbitrator or presiding arbitrator must be named from the list, and when the Arbitral Council appoints an arbitrator it will select from the list. There are now almost 250 arbitrators on the list, including the most prominent members of the Polish arbitration community as well as arbitrators from such countries as Austria, Belgium, the Czech Republic, France, Germany, Hungary, India, Italy, Slovakia, Switzerland, the United Kingdom and the United States. Interestingly, under the Rules, persons included in the list of arbitrators are not allowed to represent parties in arbitrations at the Court. Moreover, the arbitrators are required to follow the Code of Ethics adopted by the Arbitral Council. Under the default rule, cases are heard by three-member tribunals. A sole arbitrator is appointed:

- if the parties so agreed; or
- if the amount in dispute does not exceed 40,000 zlotys, unless the parties agreed to hearing of the dispute by an arbitral tribunal.

The Rules provide the following grounds for challenge of an arbitrator: justified doubts as to the independence or impartiality of the arbitrator, or if the arbitrator does not have the qualifications specified in the agreement of the parties. A party may challenge an arbitrator within 14 days after having learnt of the grounds for challenge. After this period, the party is deemed to have waived its right to challenge the arbitrator on that basis. The Arbitral Council decides on the challenge upon a written application of a party stating the grounds for the challenge.

An arbitrator may also resign at his or her own initiative, or be removed by the parties, or be removed by the Arbitral Council if it finds, upon an application of a party, that the arbitrator is not properly performing his or her duties.

The 2014 Rules provide for a way of dealing with the problem of a 'truncated tribunal'. The general rule is that a new arbitrator should be appointed and he or she will decide if there is a need to repeat all or only part of the proceeding. However, if an arbitrator's appointment is terminated after completion of the submission of evidence, the Arbitral Council may, after considering the positions of the parties and the remaining arbitrators, order that the dispute be resolved by the remaining arbitrators in the tribunal.

Multiparty proceedings and joinder of additional parties

The Rules contain provisions regarding multiparty proceedings and joinder. If there are multiple parties on one side, they must jointly name one arbitrator, and if they do not agree, the arbitrator will be named by the Arbitral Council.

The Rules also allow joinder of an additional party. A third party may be admitted to participate in a pending proceeding as a party, upon consent of the existing parties to the proceeding, if on the basis of the arbitration agreement it may pursue claims against a party or parties to the proceeding, or if a party to the proceeding may pursue claims against the third party. An application for joinder may be filed by the third party or any party to the proceedings.

Place of arbitration

Under the default rule, the place of arbitration under the Rules is Warsaw. However, the tribunal may, after seeking the opinions of the parties, order that specific activities be conducted at a place other than the place of arbitration.

Language of arbitration

The parties may agree on the language of the proceeding. If the parties do not agree otherwise, the proceeding will be conducted in Polish. Nevertheless, the arbitrators may decide that particular activities will be conducted in another language, for example, the language of the witnesses or experts or the language of the contract.

Commencement of arbitration

The rules of the Court of Arbitration at the Polish Chamber of Commerce provide for two different ways of initiating proceedings: by filing a statement of claim or a request for arbitration. Both of them should designate the parties and their contact details, the arbitration agreement or other grounds for jurisdiction of the tribunal and the amount in dispute. The statement of claim is expected to describe the nature and grounds for the claim and contain a prayer for relief together with justification for it and an indication of the evidence in support of factual allegations. It may include appointment of an arbitrator. Moreover, if the party is represented by counsel, the statement of claim should be accompanied by the original power of attorney or a certified copy, together with the attorney's contact details.

On the other hand, the request for arbitration should contain only a summary of the subject of the dispute. If the proceeding is commenced by filing a request for arbitration, the tribunal will fix the deadlines for submitting the statement of claim and the statement of defence.

The Rules do not require terms of reference to be prepared by the tribunal and signed by the parties. However, after seeking the opinions of the parties, the tribunal should establish a schedule for the proceedings by issuing an order in this respect. The schedule may specify the order and dates for written submissions by the parties, the dates for submission and admission of evidence, the dates of hearings, and the anticipated date for issuance of an award. Additionally, it may state particular rules of procedure.

The tribunal may decide not to establish a schedule if it determines that it is unnecessary to do so in light of the nature of the dispute.

Moreover, the tribunal may order an organisational session, which may be held using telecommunications, to discuss with the parties issues concerning the proceedings.

Jurisdiction

The Rules fully respect the Kompetenz-Kompetenz principle, and the arbitral tribunal is exclusively authorised to rule on its own jurisdiction (subject to judicial review as provided in the arbitration law). Nonetheless, the Rules also provide that if the statement of claim raises doubts as to whether a tribunal appointed in accordance with the Rules will have jurisdiction to resolve the dispute, the secretary general of the Court shall, prior to summoning the claimant to cure any deficiencies in the statement of claim and pay the registration fee and the arbitration fee, and without ruling on the existence, validity, effectiveness or enforceability of the arbitration agreement, promptly draw this to the attention of the claimant, asking it to take a position in writing within a specified period of no more than 14 days. If the claimant maintains its demand that the dispute be heard by an arbitral tribunal appointed in accordance with the Rules, or if the deadline is not met, the secretary general will proceed with the case.

Consolidation, set-off and counterclaim

The Rules allow for consolidation of cases for the decision in one proceeding. Consolidation requires an order by the tribunal that should be made with due consideration of all relevant circumstances and the interests of the parties, particularly the need to ensure efficiency of the proceeding. If one party asks for consolidation, the following conditions must be met:

The composition of the tribunal in each of the proceedings is the same.

The parties' claims in the proceedings subject to consolidation are based on the same arbitration agreement, or the claims are related, even if based on different arbitration agreements.

Furthermore, cases in which the parties are not identical may also be consolidated if the composition of the tribunal in each of the proceedings is the same, the condition concerning the claims is met, and the parties to all of the proceedings consent.

The Rules allow the tribunal to hear a defence of set-off regardless of whether the right of set-off arises out of the same agreement or is covered by an arbitration agreement. Nonetheless, the tribunal may refuse to consider a defence of set-off asserted later than the first session if it would excessively prolong the proceeding, unless the respondent could not assert the defence earlier.

Evidence

The tribunal may admit evidence from documents, witnesses, expert opinions and other evidence indicated by the parties that it deems relevant for clarification of the case. However, refusal to admit evidence indicated by a party requires issuance of an order, which may be subject to amendment depending on the circumstances. The tribunal may also specify a period for assertion of evidence after which the parties' applications to admit evidence will not be considered.

The 2014 Rules include a provision empowering the tribunal to decide on the method of taking evidence. More specifically, it may order that evidence from a witness be taken in two stages: in the first instance it will be on the basis of a written statement by the witness and in the second, by supplementary questioning at a hearing. Upon consent of the parties, the tribunal may take evidence from a witness solely on the basis of the witness's written statement.

Hearings

A hearing is standard. Upon consent of the parties, the tribunal may resolve the dispute without scheduling a hearing, on the basis of the parties' allegations set forth in their written submissions and the documents or other evidence presented by them, if it finds that the dispute is sufficiently clarified.

Awards

The general rule is that the tribunal shall resolve the dispute in accordance with the law mutually indicated by the parties. In the absence of such indication, the law most closely connected with the legal relationship being considered shall be applied. The tribunal may resolve the dispute according to general principles of law or equity (*ex aequo et bono*) only if the parties have expressly authorised it to do so.

The Rules recognise the principle of *jura novit arbiter*. That is, the tribunal is deemed to know the law, which implies that the law is not the subject of evidence. Nonetheless, the Rules prohibit taking the parties by surprise as to the legal grounds of the award: the award cannot be based on legal grounds different from those relied on by either of the parties, unless the tribunal notifies the parties in advance and gives them an opportunity to be heard concerning such legal grounds.

The Rules provide certain time limits for issuing an award. An award should be issued within nine months after commencement of the proceeding and no later than 30 days after closing of the hearing. The secretary general of the Court may, at his own initiative or at the request of the presiding arbitrator, extend the deadline by a specified period, if necessary, owing to the complexity of the issues to be resolved or other circumstances of the case. Failure to meet the deadline does not in itself invalidate an award.

The award is made in writing and served on the parties following payment of all costs. All originals of the award must bear the signatures of all members of the arbitral tribunal, or at least two members, stating the reason for the absence of the signature of the third arbitrator.

The Rules provide for a limited review of the award by the institution. The award is then signed by the secretary general of the Court and the president of the Court. By signing the award, the secretary general of the Court and the president of the Court certify that the arbitral tribunal was appointed in accordance with the Rules and that the signatures of

the members of the tribunal are authentic. Before signing the award, the president may, without interfering in the merits of the decision, return the award to the presiding arbitrator to make any necessary formal corrections or correct obvious errors.

Confidentiality

The Rules expressly provide for the confidentiality of proceedings. Arbitrators and employees and representatives of the Court are required to keep confidential all information concerning the proceeding, unless the parties agree otherwise. Hearings are closed to the public. Only parties and their attorneys, as well as persons requested by the tribunal (such as witnesses or experts), may be present during hearings. However, upon consent of both parties, the tribunal may permit third parties to attend the hearing. The president of the Court, the secretary general and any member of the Arbitral Council may attend any hearing. Awards are also confidential. Nonetheless, the Arbitral Council may decide to publish a ruling (redacted to assure the anonymity of the parties), but only if neither party objects to publication within 14 days after service of the ruling.

Costs

The Court may be seen as competitive in terms of costs of arbitration. The fees payable to the Court include a fixed registration fee of 2,000 zlotys (or less in certain cases) and an arbitration fee. The arbitration fee is calculated based on the amount in dispute, on a sliding scale (regressive, from 8.0 per cent to 0.3 per cent). In any final award on the merits, the tribunal shall, upon application of a party, decide on allocation of costs, including attorneys' fees. The tribunal shall resolve the costs of the arbitration proceeding in a way that reflects the result of the proceeding and other relevant circumstances. Typically the 'loser pays' rule is applied. Attorneys' fees should be awarded in a reasonable amount, considering, in particular, the result of the proceeding, the work input of the attorney, the nature of the case and other relevant circumstances. This means that allocation of attorneys' fees is not necessarily based on the actual costs incurred by the parties, and each of the parties should expect that even if they win the case, they will be required to bear some part of these costs.

Mediation

Together with the change of the Arbitration Rules in 2014, new Mediation Rules were adopted. They are now a separate set of rules and no longer part of the Arbitration Rules. Mediation under the Rules may be started prior to commencement of arbitration or litigation. Mediation may be conducted based on a written agreement between the parties or upon consent of both parties. The mediator should be appointed jointly by the parties, but if they do not agree, the mediator will be appointed by the Arbitral Council from the Court's list of recommended mediators. If mediation leads to a settlement, it should be recorded by the mediator and signed by both the mediator and the parties. There is also an option for the parties to request jointly that the mediator be appointed as an arbitrator authorised to resolve the dispute or to convert the settlement into an award. In such case the restrictions preventing a person who has served as a mediator in the dispute from serving as an arbitrator in the same dispute do not apply. Although not expressly stated in the Rules, a joint application of the parties to appoint the mediator as an arbitrator should be deemed to be an arbitration agreement (unless the parties have already signed an arbitration agreement covering the dispute). The possibility of the case being heard in arbitration by the same person who served as a mediator is an innovative approach to dispute resolution known as 'med-arb'.

The Court of Arbitration at the PCC is the most important institution on the Polish and regional arbitration market, and is witnessing a constant increase in the number of cases, both domestic and international. The Court is also involved in promoting arbitration, organising arbitration seminars and other events on a regular basis, as well as through publications related to arbitration. Since 2012, the Court has held the biennial Draft Common Frame of Reference Warsaw International Arbitration Moot for law students. Since 2003, it has organised a competition for law students for the best master's thesis on arbitration.

More information on the Court can be found at www.sakig.pl.



Justyna Szpara
Maciej Łaszczuk

justyna.szpara@laszczuk.pl
maciej.laszczuk@laszczuk.pl

Pl Piłsudskiego 2
00-073 Warsaw
Poland

Tel: +48 22 351 00 67
Fax: +48 22 351 00 68
www.laszczuk.pl
www.arbitration.pl

Getting the Deal Through

Acquisition Finance
Advertising & Marketing
Air Transport
Anti-Corruption Regulation
Anti-Money Laundering
Arbitration
Asset Recovery
Aviation Finance & Leasing
Banking Regulation
Cartel Regulation
Class Actions
Construction
Copyright
Corporate Governance
Corporate Immigration
Cybersecurity
Data Protection & Privacy
Debt Capital Markets
Dispute Resolution
Distribution & Agency
Domains & Domain Names
Dominance
e-Commerce
Electricity Regulation
Enforcement of Foreign Judgments
Environment & Climate Regulation
Executive Compensation & Employee Benefits
Foreign Investment Review
Franchise
Fund Management
Gas Regulation
Government Investigations
Healthcare Enforcement & Litigation
Initial Public Offerings
Insurance & Reinsurance
Insurance Litigation
Intellectual Property & Antitrust
Investment Treaty Arbitration
Islamic Finance & Markets
Labour & Employment
Licensing
Life Sciences
Loans & Secured Financing
Mediation
Merger Control
Mergers & Acquisitions
Mining
Oil Regulation
Outsourcing
Patents
Pensions & Retirement Plans
Pharmaceutical Antitrust
Ports & Terminals
Private Antitrust Litigation
Private Client
Private Equity
Product Liability
Product Recall
Project Finance
Public-Private Partnerships
Public Procurement
Real Estate
Restructuring & Insolvency
Right of Publicity
Securities Finance
Securities Litigation
Shareholder Activism & Engagement
Ship Finance
Shipbuilding
Shipping
State Aid
Structured Finance & Securitisation
Tax Controversy
Tax on Inbound Investment
Telecoms & Media
Trade & Customs
Trademarks
Transfer Pricing
Vertical Agreements

Also available digitally



Online

www.gettingthedealthrough.com



Arbitration
ISSN 1750-9947



THE QUEEN'S AWARDS
FOR ENTERPRISE:
2012



Official Partner of the Latin American
Corporate Counsel Association



Strategic Research Sponsor of the
ABA Section of International Law